Explanation of variances - pro forma

Name of smaller authority:

Chiddingly Parish Council

County area (local councils and | East Sussex | Insert figures from Section 2 of the AGAR in all Blue highlighted boxes

Next, please provide full explanations, including numerical values, for the following that will be flagged in the green boxes where relevant:

- variances of more than 15% between totals for individual boxes (except variances of less than £200);
- New from 2020/21 onwards: variances of £100,000 or more require explanation regardless of the % variation year on year;

	2022/23 £	2023/24 £	Variance £	Variance %		Automatic responses trigger below based on figures input, DO NOT OVERWRITE THESE BOXES	Explanation from smaller authority (must include narrative and supporting figures)
1 Balances Brought Forward	71,844	68,712				Explanation of % variance from PY opening balance not required - Balance brought forward agrees	
2 Precept or Rates and Levies	39,900	44,451	4,551	11.41%	NO		
3 Total Other Receipts	11,027	18,090	7,063	64.05%	YES		A VAT receipt of £3277 was received due to new fencing being replaced last year(22/23) resulting in a larger VAT spend. Interest received totalled £1851 compared to £136 the year. before. CIL funds of £11928 received this year compared to £8126 last year
4 Staff Costs	21,252	24,193	2,941	13.84%	NO		
5 Loan Interest/Capital Repayment	0	0	0	0.00%	NO		
6 All Other Payments	32,807	23,010	-9,797	29.86%	YES		A one off fence replacement at the playing field took place last year costing £10,802 which increased the councils spend. There have been no projects resulting in such a large spend in 23-24
7 Balances Carried Forward	68,712	84,050				VARIANCE EXPLANATION NOT REQUIRED	
8 Total Cash and Short Term Investments	68,712	84,050				VARIANCE EXPLANATION NOT REQUIRED	
9 Total Fixed Assets plus Other Long Term Investments a	70,386	70,328	-58	0.08%	NO		
10 Total Borrowings	0	0	0	0.00%	NO		

Rounding errors of up to £2 are tolerable

Variances of £200 or less are tolerable